

Similarities and Differences in the Works of the Great English Writer and Journalist Jack London's "Love Of Life" and "White Fang"

Maftuna Abduolimova

Teacher at Fergana State University

Umida Xolmatova

Student at Fergana State University

Abstract: In the article, the two works of the great English writer Jack London, "Love of Life" and "White Fang" are classified differently. Similarities and differences between them are skillfully explained.

Key points: image, story, literature, tragedy, genre, end, knot, solution, conclusion.

The works of the famous English writer and journalist Jack London are deeply rooted in the hearts of many readers around the world and remain as literary heritage. Jack London, known for his vivid portrayal of the natural world and the human-animal relationships, crafted two captivating works, "Love of Life" and "White Fang", which showcase the relentless struggle for survival and the innate connections between humans and the wilderness. His two famous works "The Love of Life" and "White Fang" deeply reflect human nature and the struggle for survival. This article examines and analyzes the similarities and differences between these two works. These two works rank high in London's repertoire of unique and unrepeatably works. "Love of Life" and "White Fang" share several themes despite their contrasting characters.

While distinct in their narratives, both narratives share compelling similarities and differences, ultimately standing as timeless testaments to London's literary prowess and deep understanding of nature.

Both "The Love of Life" and "The White Fang" epitomize London's naturalistic approach, delving deep into nature's relentless struggle. In both stories, the protagonists face extreme challenges and are forced to rely on their instincts and resilience to overcome adversity. London vividly portrays the struggle for survival in the midst of unforgiving environments, highlighting the relentless drive to endure and the harsh consequences of failure.

"The Love of Life" published in 1905, describes the struggle for survival in the harsh Alaskan wilderness. The main character is referred to only as "The Man". London provides a vivid depiction of an unforgiving landscape and extensive analysis of the protagonist's determination to survive.

On the other hand, "White Fang" published in 1906, tells the story of the journey of a wild wolf-dog. The novel explores themes of nature versus nurture, the power of love and kindness, and the inherent wildness within all living beings. London's ability to delve into the animal psyche and craft a poignant narrative has made "White Fang" a timeless tale beloved by readers of all ages. While both "Love of Life" and "White Fang" share themes of survival, resilience, and the natural world, they also differ in significant ways.

“Love of Life” focuses on the human experience in the wild, depicting the behaviors that drive people to survive against all odds. In contrast, “White Fang” enters the animal world, confronts the struggle and victories between nature and humanity. Despite their differences, both works showcase London’s unparalleled talent for storytelling and his ability to capture the essence of the human and animal spirit. Through his masterful prose and deeply evocative imagery, London’s works continue to resonate with readers across generations.

Another significant difference between the two works lies in their narrative structure. “Love of Life” is a short story, while “White Fang” is a full-length novel. This divergence allows London to explore the themes more extensively in

“White Fang”, offering a deeper exploration of the characters and their development. However, while both stories tackle the theme of survival “White Fang” takes a more animalistic perspective. Through “White Fang’s” experiences, London delves into the inherent savagery within both animals and humans, emphasizing the instinctual nature of survival.

“Love of Life” is a short story that focuses on the immediate survival struggles of its protagonist, while “White Fang” is a longer novel that explores the broader themes of civilization versus savagery, as well as the impact of environment and upbringing on animal’s behaviors. Furthermore, both narratives touch upon the interplay between humans and animals. In “Love of Life,” the protagonist’s struggle in the wilderness accentuates the thin line that separates humans from other creatures, emphasizing the shared will to survive. In “White Fang,” the novel delves into the complexities of the human-animal bond, highlighting themes of companionship, loyalty, and the transformative impact of environment and upbringing on an animal’s behavior.

“Love of Life “ and “White Fang” both showcase London’s deep understanding of nature and the human spirit. In “Love of Life,” the protagonist faces the harsh Alaskan wilderness as he struggles to survive against all odds. This story is a poignant exploration of unimaginable hardship. “White Fang,” on the other hand, follows the journey of a wolf-dog in the wild, highlighting the primal instincts and savagery of nature, as well as the power of love and redemption.

Conclusion. In conclusion, while “Love of Life” and “White Fang” share similarities in their exploration of survival and the harshness of nature, they differ in perspective and narrative structure. London’s captivating storytelling and insightful examination of the human and animal experience make these works essential reads for those interested in the natural world and the complexities of life. London’s unique ability to weave together the beauty and brutality of the natural world has solidified his place as one of the greatest literary voices of all time. These two works differ in their characters, storytelling styles, and the relationship between humans and animals.

London’s masterful storytelling and profound insights into the human-animal relationships have solidified his position as a literary giant, and his works continue to captivate readers with their timeless themes and evocative imagery. “Love of Life” stands as a poignant testament to Jack London’s artistry, capturing the essence of human perseverance against the backdrop of nature’s formidable challenges. It serves as a compelling reminder of the tenacity of the human spirit, a testament to survival, resilience, and the unyielding “Love of Life “ that propels individuals to defy even the cruelest of circumstances.

This article seeks to unpack the enduring themes and characters present in “White Fang,” shedding light on its profound impact and relevance.

In conclusion, “White Fang” stands as a remarkable testament to Jack London’s literary prowess, offering a compelling exploration of primal instincts, human compassion, and the indomitable spirit of the wilderness. Through its enduring themes and unforgettable characters, “White Fang” continues to leave an indelible mark on readers, instilling a deep appreciation for the complexities of the natural world and the transformative power of empathy.

REFERENCES:

1. Abduolimova, M. (2023, May). Literary and Comparative Analysis of “Godfather” and “Shaytanat”. In International Conference on Multidimensional Research and Innovative Technological Analyses (pp. 165-168).
2. Abduolimova, M. Metaphors in Literature and Daily Speech
3. Akhadalievich, A. K. (2023). Modern Approaches to Linguistics: Exploring New Frontiers in Language Research. *Journal of Education, Ethics and Value*, 2(6), 9-11.
4. Komilova, G., & Abduolimova, M. (2023). Development of Competences Referred to in International Assessment Programs And Standards in Foreign Language Teaching, 3(5), 127-129.
5. Liao, Y.D, & Fukuya, Y. J. (2002). Avoidance of Phrasal Verbs: the Case of Chinese Learners of English. *Second Language Studies*, 20(2), 71-106.
6. Lindner, S.J. (1981). *A Lexico-semantic Analysis of English Verb Particle Construction with Out and Up*. University of California, San Diiego.
7. London, J. (1905). *Love of Life*. Macmillan Publishers.
8. London, J. (1906). *White Fang*. The Centure Co.
9. Maftuna, A. (2023). Conceptual Metaphor Theory: A Comprehensive Analysis of Its Cognitive and Linguistic Implications, 3(10), 162-164.
10. Maftuna, A. (2023). Cognitive-Structural Interpretation of Metaphor and Language, 3(10), 179-181.

INTERNET RECOURSES:

1. www.Wikipedia.com.