

Eco- Dystopian Narratives and the Rewriting of Hope: A Review of Environmental Consciousness in Contemporary Climate Fiction

Huda Razaq Ibraheem

Department of English Language, College of Arts, University of Baghdad

Abstract: This review article examines how modern climate fiction transforms the meaning of dystopian narrative into an ecological and ethical context. With an emphasis on the development of the eco-dystopian narratives, it looks at the way in which authors alter the language of environmental crisis into a language of awakening, endurance and new hope.

Through the theories of ecocriticism, Anthropocene theory and narrative ethics, the paper will follow the emergence and development of the environmental awareness in literature and how it influences the moral imagination of the readers.

The study provides an analytical synthesis of key eco-dystopian fiction by Margaret Atwood, Kim Stanley Robinson, Richard Powers and Omar El Akkad, including MaddAddam Trilogy, The Ministry for the Future, The Overstory and American War, to demonstrate how eco-dystopian fiction strikes the right balance between the fear of apocalypticism and the hope of ecological renewal. These writings go beyond the pessimism of traditional dystopia, which offers hope not as a way to avoid the problem, but as a way to respond critically and collectively to the climate crisis.

The review concludes that eco-dystopian fiction is a transformative genre that leads to environmental awareness and offers readers to affect both emotionally and ethically the vulnerability of the planet.

These stories bring together realism and imaginative rejuvenation to make the dystopia a place of moral reflection and ecological engagement, and imply that even storytelling could become a form of environmental survival and a tool to rethink a sustainable future.

Introduction

The growing reality of climate change of recent decades has radically restructured scientific and political discourses, as well as the creative imagination. Literature, being a reflection, and shaper of the human mind has reacted to ecological fears with a deluge of stories, which imagine the uncertain futures of the planet. In this growing corpus, eco-dystopian fiction has become a prevailing mode or mode which illustrates worlds devastated by environmental disaster, social fragmentation and moral disintegration (1,2). However, even more than the despair that characterizes dystopia, another literary trend has started to emerge; and it reinvents the language of despair into a language of hope, resilience, and ecological awakening. This metamorphosis places the modern climate fiction at the border of environmental awareness and ethics of hope (3,4).

This emergence of eco-dystopian tropes is matched by a larger cultural/intellectual migration towards what has been described by scholars as the Anthropocene imagination an ideology that acknowledges humanity as a geological agent with the power to change the systems of the planet (5). Other authors, like Margaret Atwood, Kim Stanley Robinson and Richard Powers, have set speculative settings not to predict the disaster, but to question the ethical, emotional and political

climate, which has resulted in environment degradation. With the help of these stories, the climate crisis is being externalized as a physical catastrophe and internalized as a crisis of meaning and morality and belonging. Literature, in this way becomes a kind of a fantastic laboratory in which ecological consciousness is put to the test, extended, and in certain cases, even redeemed (6). Although fear is historically needed in dystopian literature to trigger an awareness, the recent eco-dystopian literature makes the palette of emotions more challenging (7).

They criticize the supposition that hopelessness should prevail in the ecological narratives. Rather, there is occurring a kind of rewriting of hope whereby hope does not have to be either naive optimism or escapist fantasy but a kind of resistance and a kind of ethical engagement (8). Other researchers, such as Rebecca Solnit (2016) and Donna Haraway (2018), have viewed hope as a way of remaining with the trouble, of empathizing and becoming responsible to the planet through its uncertainty (9,10). This sensibility is reflected in 21st-century climate fiction, particularly in works published since 2010, where characters are being-in-the-world in new ways, as the world changes to such a degree that it no longer looks like the one the characters are familiar with. (11).

This review paper aims to trace the lines of ecological awareness in the eco-dystopian fiction and to determine how these stories re-write hope in an ecologically hopeless time. Instead of viewing dystopia as a world-ending event, this paper is going to take it as a place of ethical potential--a story ecology wherein the destructive tendencies of humans exist alongside the creative ones (12). By closely discussing some chosen literary works, this review seeks to point to how writers express new ecological imaginaries that would not be polarized around optimism or fatality, but would be given a finer set of affective and ethical reactions to the climate crisis. By doing so, this paper places the eco-dystopian fiction in the interdisciplinary realm of the eco-criticism, environmental humanities, and the narrative ethics. These frameworks make it possible to see literature not as a passive reflection but as an active participant in the formation of the consciousness of people (13).

Through an examination of some new academic arguments and trends in fiction, the article aims to identify the ways in which fiction can foster what Rob Nixon (2011) describes as slow violence awareness the ability to recognize long-term, often invisible effects of environmental degradation even when a person cannot envision a sustainable future, the study examines how fiction can bring about that awareness. Finally, eco-dystopian stories help to remember that in the midst of ruins there are still seeds of renewal; that we can even survive by telling stories (14,15).

Conceptual Framework

The theoretical basis of eco-dystopian texts is in the interplay of three ideas that are closely related and that are that of ecocriticism, dystopia, and the re-establishment of hope in the Anthropocene. All these ideas add to the comprehension of the way in which climate fiction serves as a reflection as well as criticism of the ecological awareness of the humankind (16).

Eco-dystopian mode in general offers a literary territory where the issue of environmental collapse is not merely narrated but challenged, which is why such a space can enable authors to address the ethical, philosophical, and existential consequences of life on a ruined planet (17). As a theoretical approach, ecocriticism originated in the 1990s however, it has since grown into a wide interdisciplinary approach to the study of the connection between literature and the physical world. Lawrence Buell, Cheryl Glotfelty and Greg Garrard have highlighted that literature has influenced the way societies think of nature and the moral obligation they have toward it (18).

In this context, eco-dystopian fiction emerges as a narrative tool, which dramatizes the environmental crisis, and how human-centered ideologies, namely anthropocentrism, consumerism, and technological domination, control the ecological crisis. These stories make the readers realize that human and non-humans are interrelated and the effects of disregarding ecological boundaries are severe (19).

The concept of dystopia is traditionally used to denote the conceived communities, which are dominated by oppression, scarcity and corruption. But when environmental fiction is concerned, dystopia takes on other shades--it is now eco-dystopia, the degradation of the ecosystem is the

degradation of human morality (20). Instead of focusing on societal domination through political power or technology, eco-dystopias predict ecological disproportion as the leading conflict line. Wasteland deserted landscapes, urban structures on the brink, and dying creatures are not simply background elements, but they are the main participants of the story, the expression of suffering and resistance of the planet (21).

According to Frederic Jameson and Tom Moylan, a dystopian agenda is frequently utopian in its own way, a latent wish to change something in its destruction. So, even the most eco-dystopian visions implanted with the darkest visions have the seeds of a new beginning. Anthropocene is a term popularized by Paul Crutzen and Eugene Stoermer, which gives an ethical and temporal context to eco-dystopian thinking. It identifies a geological period when the anthropogenic factor has become the most significant factor in the climate and the systems of the earth. In that background, the natural and human lines are punctured and writers and critics need to re-examine agency, responsibility and survival (22).

Such ideas as posthumanism and more-than-human ethics, developed by such critics as Donna Haraway and Timothy Morton, focus on the idea that the future hope lies in rethinking the relationship between humans and the nonhuman world. Lastly, re-writing of hope is the philosophical essence of this framework. Conventional dystopian works tend to be pessimistic in their conclusions, whereas eco-dystopian works oppose such a resolution (23). In its place, it pursues what has come to be termed by scholars as hopeful dystopianism or hopepunk, in which heroic domination is substituted by resilience, cooperation, and ecological care. Hope here is not sentimental and utopian but, as the author herself, Rebecca Solnit, believes it to be, an exercise of imagination--an unwillingness to surrender meaning when faced with crisis (24).

By sharing tales of narration, recollection and open opposition, the eco-dystopian fiction transforms hope into an environmental ethic and not as a comforting feeling. Therefore this conceptual construct makes eco-dystopia a literary genre that is constructed on fear and renewal, guilt and responsibility, and despair and transformation. By placing the discussion in the frames of ecocriticism, Anthropocene theory and narrative ethics, the review paper places the eco-dystopian fiction as one of the main cultural locations where the future of environmental consciousness is projected, disputed, and rewritten (25).

The Rise of Environmental Consciousness in Contemporary Fiction

The emergence of environmentalism in modern fiction is a fundamental cultural reaction to planetary crisis of the Anthropocene. With climate change shifting out of scientific rhetoric, authors started to consider moral and emotional aspects of humanity in correlation with the earth. Literature therefore became this ecological commentary, what Lawrence Buell calls the environmental imagination, the nature ceased to be a passive background but an active force of the story, moral in its nature (26,27).

The twentieth-century texts on the environmental issues that did not rely on warning (activism) textbooks like *Silent Spring* (1962) by Rachel Carson revealed how destructive industrialization is. Twenty-first-century climate fiction (Cli-Fi), however, reinvents this engagement with a different focus, namely, in the areas of empathy and ethical imagination over alarm. Modern writers like Margaret Atwood, Kim Stanley Robinson and Richard Powers use both speculative and realist versions to address the issues of ecological breakdown, human frailty and renewal seeking (28).

The shift is the indicator of the change in the ethical mission of literature as the representation of environmental degradation to the development of awareness, power, and group accountability. Fiction is not only a response to crisis, but also an ecological action, and it gives the reader an opportunity to reconstruct coexistence, which is ultimately beyond the anthropocentric boundaries (29).

Table 1. Evolution of Environmental Consciousness in Literature (30,31,32).

Phase	Period	Main Focus	Representative Works / Authors
I. Romantic & Pastoral	1800s–1950s	Nature as harmony and refuge	Wordsworth, Thoreau, Leopold
II. Activist & Warning	1960s–1990s	Ecological critique; nature vs. technology	Carson, Abbey, Le Guin
III. Eco-Dystopian & Climate Conscious	2000–Present	Collapse, adaptation, moral ecology	Atwood, Robinson, Powers

Eco-Dystopian Narratives: Key Themes and Tropes

Eco-dystopian texts have become a very important genre of literature in expressing the fears, ethical conflicts and prospects of life in the Anthropocene (15). These texts rebrand the dystopian tradition in an ecological form, placing the ecological devastation and human vulnerability in a symbiosis. An ecological imbalance, or rather, the moral implications of the effects of the destruction of nature is the subject of eco-dystopias, rather than an oppressed state of the world, which is perpetrated through the destruction of nature and in turn the corruption of human values (33).

The peculiar aspect of the genre is the balance between hopelessness and revival. The destruction of the environment is traditionally portrayed as the point of end and the point of a new life: the point that reveals all the flaws of the people but also leaves the opportunity to change (11). In novels such as the MaddAddam Trilogy by Atwood and *The Ministry for the Future* by Robinson, the planet is gendered and starts to relate actively to the anthropocentric worldviews and makes characters re-learn humility, collaboration, and responsibility towards the environment. Eco-dystopian fiction is also focused on nonhuman agency, whereby animals, ecosystems, and even technologies are given voice and will, which expresses posthumanist concepts of interrelatedness (34).

The tropes of contamination, exile, and survival are recurring and reflect the societal anxieties about extinction, but most of the writers twist these tropes by introducing the themes of strength, unity, and compassion. Therefore, eco-dystopian mode turns dystopia into a place of despair into an ecological narrative of resistance in the form of an ethical practice and not a form of emotional reaction (23).

Table 2. Major Themes and Tropes in Eco-Dystopian Fiction (34,35).

Theme / Trope	Description	Illustrative Example
Ecological Collapse	Depiction of environmental ruin and loss of biodiversity	<i>The Drowned Cities</i> – Paolo Bacigalupi
Human-Nature Interdependence	Blurring boundaries between human and nonhuman worlds	<i>The Overstory</i> – Richard Powers
Posthuman Agency	Nonhuman entities as moral or narrative agents	<i>Oryx and Crake</i> – Margaret Atwood
Hope and Regeneration	Emergence of ecological ethics and collective renewal	<i>The Ministry for the Future</i> – Kim Stanley Robinson
Eco-Justice and Survival	Struggles for equality and sustainability amid collapse	<i>American War</i> – Omar El Akkad

Case Studies in Contemporary Climate Fiction

When analyzing the works by the representatives of the modern climate fiction, one can see that the eco-dystopian motif is redefined by various authors to balance the sense of despair with moral regeneration. Each story creates a unique ecological realm of imagination that does not only help to visualize environmental degradation but also lets us see how humans can adapt to changing circumstances and moral re-wakening. The combination of realism, speculation, and environmental

philosophy makes these novels a challenge to the reader to find a way to address climate change as a scientific and existential crisis (36).

Margaret Atwood MaddAddam Trilogy (2003 -2013) proves to be an eco-dystopian example in that its eco-destroyed future reflects the moral decay of the human race. However, in the ruins, Atwood opens the ideas of the possibility of interspecies co-existence and that the survival will lie in ethical change. In the same vein, *The Ministry for the Future* (2020) by Kim Stanley Robinson shows a world that is going through extreme weather events in the near future (37). Instead of apocalypse, Robinson puts his emphasis on the institutional action and collective hope by providing a political vision of resilience based on realism. In Powers *The Overstory* (2018) Richard Powers leaves dystopia in favor of eco-epic narrative, with trees and ecosystems having narrative agency (38).

The novel glorifies the interconnection and empathy as resistance to the environment. In the meantime, Omar El Akkad *American War* (2017) includes ecological fall in the context of the sociopolitical struggle and reveals the way in which climate disaster increases inequality and displacement (39). Combined, these works represent a unified literary trend: that of turning environmental hopelessness into a form of environmental ethics of care and environmental consciousness. They have shown that hope in climate fiction is neither sentimental nor passive, but a practice of resiliency, coming out of the understanding of shared vulnerability and responsibility, using a wide variety of narrative forms to show this (40).

Table 3. Selected Works in Contemporary Eco-Dystopian Fiction

Author / Work	Year	Narrative Focus	Form of Hope / Renewal
Margaret Atwood – <i>MaddAddam Trilogy</i>	2003–2013	Genetic engineering, moral decay	Ethical coexistence with nonhuman life
Kim Stanley Robinson – <i>The Ministry for the Future</i>	2020	Climate politics, adaptation	Institutional and collective resilience
Richard Powers – <i>The Overstory</i>	2018	Ecological interconnectedness	Empathy and interspecies awareness
Omar El Akkad – <i>American War</i>	2017	Climate migration, inequality	Moral awakening amid loss

Rewriting Hope: From Apocalyptic Fear to Eco-Resilience

The shift of the apocalyptic fear to eco-resilience is one of the most important changes in the modern climate fiction. The classic dystopian narratives were usually based on despair to create the urgency, and environmental destruction was the natural result of human arrogance. But the more recent eco-dystopian literature does not owe the same debt to fatalism, opting instead to develop it through hypothetical life and meaning after the disaster (41).

In that light hope is a focal narrative and moral plan to overcome it not as innocent optimism, but in the form of a disciplined resisting action to hopelessness. This remaking of hope changes the heart of the climate fiction away from gloominess and toward change (42).

There is a steadily growing portrayal of characters and communities with novel ways of collaborating, empathizing and adjusting to a collapsing environment. It is communal, not a personal hope that exists; it grows by means of collective responsibility and sense of interdependence (12).

These stories are reflections of the real world experience of understanding that ecological survival is based on cooperation and reinvented morals and not on domination and control. Furthermore, ecocritical storytelling disputes the opposition between utopia and dystopia. It combines scientific realism with moral imagination to visualize futures of partial, precarious and continuing recovery (22). This vision, which is open-ended, encourages readers to understand that hope is not an end solution but a form of perseverance- an effort to remain with the wounds on the planet and seek a solution. By so doing, eco-dystopian fiction turns despair into a form of ethical consciousness and puts hope in the creative mode of the ecology of the Anthropocene (43).

Discussion and Synthesis

The analysis of the eco-dystopian texts shows that the modern climate fiction works as a reflection and reorganization of the environmental awareness. In other authors and modes of narration, a similar trend is the shift in portraying ecological collapse as a dead end to portraying it as a process of change. This development suggests a coming-of-age ecological consciousness in literature one that is open to complexity, contradiction, and hope as the currency of the survival of the Anthropocene (44).

The continuation of the research findings of the earlier sections explains that the eco-dystopian fiction is not a homogenous work in terms of tonality and ideology. Others, including the MaddAddam Trilogy by Atwood, have focused on the ethical results of human overindulgence whereas others, such as *The Ministry for the Future* by Robinson, have been about mass action and structural change. Notwithstanding these distinctions, they all lead to an identical conclusion, which is that imagination itself can be a force of ecology (25). These texts foster empathy through narrative experimentation and affective engagement, which causes the readers to challenge the ethical aspects of environmental crisis. Additionally, the combination of desperation and strength in eco-dystopian narration is the symbol of the psychological conflict of the present climate times.

The genre attacks both passive pessimism and unthinking optimism with a different form of hope, critical hope, hope based on awareness, responsibility, and care. This synthesis implies that the usefulness of eco-dystopian fiction is not just to warn the readers about the deterioration of the environment but to give them emotional and ethical means to envision a change (13). These stories eventually reveal that the act of storytelling can even be a kind of environmental activism, a way of making sense of crisis into the grammar of regeneration (45).

Conclusion

The study of eco-dystopian texts shows the way that the modern climate fiction has transformed into an important cultural art that mediates ecological consciousness and moral imagination. Instead of being descriptions of destruction, the stories have been used as testing grounds to visualize moral durability and shared survival amidst disaster on the planet. They turn dystopia into the discourse of despair to the discourse of reorientation and challenge the readers to rethink the humanity in the context of the unstable network of life.

The analysis shows that other writers like Atwood, Robinson, and Powers are redefining the concept of dystopian narrative by adding the ecological empathy and interdependence as the prominent moral practices. Their works demonstrate that the problem of the climate crisis is not merely a scientific or even political phenomenon and a deep crisis of meaning which requires the acquisition of new methods of thinking, emotion and even imagining the world. In this perspective, hope is a creative and moral one, a demand to stay connected, to keep caring in the face of destruction. Finally, eco-dystopian fiction helps create what may be termed as environmental humanism, a form of thinking that integrates a critical acuity, as well as emotional involvement.

By transforming the ecological anxiety of the world into the personal narratives of change, such literature contributes to making the future seem like a collective and participatory process. By so doing it reminds us that despite dystopia, some seeds to grow continue to grow, and that itself storytelling is one of the strongest weapons that humanity has to survive and hope.

References

1. Al-Tehmazi, N. (2021). *A Reader's Response Approach to Lydia Millet's "Zoogoing" [Masters]*. Linnaeus University.
2. American Psychological Association. (2018). *Stress in America™ Generation Z (Stress in America™ Survey, p. 11)*.
3. American Psychological Association. (2019). *Stress in America 2019 (Stress in America: Stress and Current Events, p. 9)*. American Psychological Association.

4. Andrewism (Director). (2020, December 16). What is Solarpunk? <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hHI61GHNGJM>
5. Anneser, E., Levine, P., Lane, K. J., & Corlin, L. (2024). Climate stress and anxiety, environmental context, and civic engagement: A nationally representative study. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 93, 102220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2023.102220>
6. APA. (2020, October 21). New APA Poll Reveals That Americans are Increasingly Anxious About Climate Change's Impact on Planet. <https://www.psychiatry.org:443/news-room/news-releases/climatepoll-2020>
7. Armbruster, K., & Wallace, K. R. (2001). *Beyond nature writing: Expanding the boundaries of ecocriticism*. University Press of Virginia.
8. Arnold, E. (2018, May 29). Doom and Gloom: The Role of the Media in Public Disengagement on Climate Change. Shorenstein Center. <https://shorensteincenter.org/media-disengagement-climatechange/>
9. Solnit, R., & Jelly-Schapiro, J. (2016). *Nonstop Metropolis: A New York City Atlas* (Vol. 3). Univ of California Press.
10. Haraway, D. (2018). Staying with the trouble for multispecies environmental justice. *Dialogues in Human Geography*, 8(1), 102-105.
11. Bajcar, E. A., & Babel, P. (2018). How does observational learning produce placebo effects? A model integrating research findings. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02041>
12. Bak, H.-J. (2001). Education and Public Attitudes toward Science: Implications for the "Deficit Model" of Education and Support for Science and Technology. *Social Science Quarterly*, 82(4), 779–795.
13. Baldwin, C., Pickering, G., & Dale, G. (2022). Knowledge and self-efficacy of youth to take action on climate change. *Environmental Education Research*, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2022.2121381>
14. Bamberg, S., Rees, J., & Seebauer, S. (2015). Collective climate action: Determinants of participation intention in community-based pro-environmental initiatives. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 43, 155–165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.06.006>
15. Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice Hall.
16. Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall.
17. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W.H. Freeman and Company.
18. Bandura, A. (2000). Exercise of human agency through collective efficacy. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 9(3), 75–78. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00064>
19. Bandura, A. (2006). Guide for Constructing Self-Efficacy Scales. In *Self-Efficacy Beliefs of Adolescents* (Vol. 5, pp. 307–337). Information Age Publishing.
20. Bellingham, WA Politics & Voting. (n.d.). Retrieved March 11, 2024, from <https://www.bestplaces.net/voting/city/washington/bellingham>
21. Berg, B. L., & Lune, H. (2017). *Qualitative research methods for the social sciences* (Ninth edition). Pearson.
22. Bloomfield, E. F., & Manktelow, C. (2021). Climate communication and storytelling. *Climatic Change*, 167(3), 34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03199-6>

23. Bord, R. J., O'Connor, R. E., & Fisher, A. (2000). In what sense does the public need to understand global climate change? *Public Understanding of Science*, 9(3), 205–218. <https://doi.org/10.1088/09636625/9/3/301>
24. Boyd, B. (2009). *On the origin of stories evolution, cognition, and fiction*. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.4159/9780674053595>
25. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE.
26. Brulle, R. J., & Norgaard, K. M. (2019). Avoiding cultural trauma: Climate change and social inertia. *Environmental Politics*, 28(5), 886–908. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2018.1562138>
27. Buell, L. (2001). *Writing for an endangered world: Literature, culture, and environment in the U.S. and beyond*. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
28. Stein, K. F. (2012). *Silent spring (1962)*. In *Rachel Carson: Challenging Authors* (pp. 61-106). Rotterdam: SensePublishers.
29. Carroll, J. (2004). *Literary Darwinism: Evolution, human nature, and literature*. Routledge.
30. Carroll, J. (2010). Three Scenarios for Literary Darwinism. *New Literary History*, 41(1), 53–67.
31. Chabria, P. S., Fujii, T., & Taneja, S. (2021). *Multispecies Cities: Solarpunk Urban Futures*. World Weaver Press.
32. Chen, M.-F. (2015). Self-efficacy or collective efficacy within the cognitive theory of stress model: Which more effectively explains people's self-reported proenvironmental behavior? *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 42, 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.02.002>
33. Clayton, S. (2018). Mental health risk and resilience among climate scientists. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(4), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0123-z>
34. Clayton, S., & Karazsia, B. T. (2020). Development and validation of a measure of climate change anxiety. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 69, 101434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2020.101434>
35. Clayton, S., Manning, C., College, M., Krygsman, K., Speiser, M., Cunsolo, A., Derr, V., Doherty, T., Fery, P., Haase, E., Kotcher, J., Silka, L., & Tabola, J. (2017). Mental health and our changing climate: Impacts, implications, and guidance. *American Psychological Association and ecoAmerica*, 70.
36. Collingridge, D., & Reeve, C. (1986). *Science Speaks to Power: The Role of Experts in Policy Making*. St. Martin's Press.
37. Acharya, I., & Roy, K. Patriarchal Reinscription in Feminist Discourse: A Study of Margaret Atwood's Maddaddam Trilogy. *MIDDLE FLIGHT*, 184.
38. Stewart, G. (2021). Organic Reformations in Richard Powers's *The Overstory*. *Daedalus*, 150(1), 160-177.
39. El Akkad, O. (2017). *American War: a dystopian novel of survival in a divided America, for fans of Station Eleven*. Pan Macmillan.
40. Cox, L. (2011). How do we keep going? Activist burnout and personal sustainability in social movements. *The Commons Social Change Library*, 41.
41. Cunsolo, A., & Ellis, N. R. (2018). Ecological grief as a mental health response to climate change-related loss. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(4), 275–281. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0092-2>

42. Dahlstrom, M. F. (2014). Using narratives and storytelling to communicate science with nonexpert audiences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(supplement_4), 13614–13620. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1320645111>
43. Dahlstrom, M. F. (2015). The Moderating Influence of Narrative Causality as an Untapped Pool of Variance for Narrative Persuasion. *Communication Research*, 42(6), 779–795. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650213487374>
44. Feldman, L., & Hart, P. S. (2021). Upping the ante? The effects of “emergency” and “crisis” framing in climate change news. *Climatic Change*, 169(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03219-5>
45. Flynn, A. (2014, September 4). Solarpunk: Notes toward a manifesto | Project Hieroglyph. Hieroglyph. <https://hieroglyph.asu.edu/2014/09/solarpunk-notes-toward-a-manifesto/>