

An Assessment of UN Policies on Fossil Emission and Climate Change: Implications on the National Security of US, 2010-2023

Olivia Chinonso Ihemeson
chinonso_ihemeson@yahoo.com

Abstract:

The problem of climate change poses a significant threat to global stability and security, with the United States facing unique challenges due to its reliance on fossil fuels and its role as a major global emitter. Despite numerous international agreements and policies spearheaded by the United Nations (UN) aimed at curbing fossil fuel emissions and mitigating climate change, substantial obstacles persist. These include political resistance, economic dependence on fossil fuels, disparities between developed and developing nations, and technical implementation issues. The period from 2010 to 2023 has been particularly critical, marked by significant international efforts like the Paris Agreement and ongoing COP meetings. The effectiveness of these UN policies in reducing emissions and their broader implications for U.S. national security remain crucial areas of investigation. Understanding how these international frameworks impact U.S. economic resilience, energy security, military readiness, and geopolitical strategy is essential for developing robust national policies that align with global climate objectives and protect national interests. Therefore, the aim of the study is to assess the effectiveness of UN policies on fossil emission reduction and their implications for the national security of the United States from 2010 to 2023. The study is anchored on the Ecological Modernization Theory (EMT), employing a qualitative research design and content analysis method to examine relevant documents, policies, and expert opinions. The findings indicate that while UN policies have spurred significant advancements in renewable energy adoption and international cooperation, challenges such as economic and political resistance, unequal capabilities among nations, and technical barriers hinder their full potential. The conclusion emphasizes the need for stronger implementation mechanisms, increased support for developing countries, and integration of climate action into national security strategies to enhance the effectiveness of these policies. The study recommended among other things that there is need to strengthen enforcement mechanisms for UN climate agreements to ensure compliance and accountability.

Key points: Fossil emissions, Policies, Climate Change, National Security

Introduction

Fossil emissions, an intricate aspect of anthropogenic climate change, are a critical subject in contemporary environmental discourse. They stem from the combustion of fossil fuels, including coal, oil, and natural gas, for energy and industrial processes. This activity releases substantial quantities of carbon dioxide (CO₂) and other greenhouse gases (GHGs) into the atmosphere,

contributing to global warming and climate change. The Industrial Revolution marked the beginning of large-scale fossil fuel use, leading to an exponential increase in emissions that have had profound impacts on the Earth's climate system (Peters et al., 2020; Hart, 2022). Understanding fossil emissions is essential for developing effective strategies to mitigate climate change and achieve sustainability goals.

The environmental and socio-economic ramifications of fossil emissions are manifold. Environmentally, these emissions contribute significantly to the greenhouse effect, resulting in rising global temperatures, melting polar ice, and more frequent extreme weather events (Ihemeson, 2023). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has repeatedly underscored the urgency of reducing fossil emissions to limit global temperature rise to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels (IPCC, 2021). On the socio-economic front, fossil emissions exacerbate air pollution, which poses severe health risks, particularly in urban areas (Ihemeson, 2023). Furthermore, the reliance on fossil fuels can lead to economic vulnerabilities, especially as the world shifts towards renewable energy sources. Transitioning to low-carbon energy systems is thus imperative not only for environmental sustainability but also for economic resilience and public health improvement (International Energy Agency, 2022).

The manifestations of climate change are evident across various spheres of the natural world. Rising global temperatures, often referred to as global warming, are one of the most conspicuous indicators. These temperature increases result from heightened concentrations of greenhouse gases like carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) in the atmosphere, primarily due to fossil fuel combustion and deforestation (Hart, 2022). The effects of climate change extend beyond temperature increases; they include melting polar ice caps and glaciers, leading to rising sea levels and increased flooding risks in coastal regions (NASA, 2022; Ihemeson, 2023). Moreover, altered weather patterns have intensified the frequency and severity of extreme weather events such as hurricanes, droughts, and heatwaves. These environmental changes disrupt ecosystems, threatening biodiversity and altering habitats. In addition to environmental impacts, climate change poses significant risks to human health through increased heat-related illnesses, vector-borne diseases, and food security challenges (World Health Organization [WHO], 2021). The socio-economic implications are equally dire, as climate change can exacerbate poverty, displacement, and economic inequalities, particularly in vulnerable communities.

National security, a cornerstone of a nation's stability and sovereignty, encompasses a wide array of measures aimed at safeguarding a state from external and internal threats. This multi-faceted concept involves the protection of a nation's citizens, economy, and institutions against threats that could undermine its sovereignty. In the contemporary geopolitical landscape, national security extends beyond traditional military concerns to include economic security, cyber security, energy security, and the protection of critical infrastructure (Williams, 2021). The increasing complexity of global threats necessitates a holistic approach to national security, integrating various domains to ensure comprehensive protection.

The modern conception of national security is influenced by several factors, including technological advancements, geopolitical shifts, and evolving threats. Cybersecurity has become a paramount concern as cyber-attacks and cyber-espionage pose significant risks to national infrastructure and data integrity (Kello, 2017). Additionally, economic security is vital, particularly in the face of global economic interdependence and the potential for economic coercion or disruptions in supply chains. Energy security is also critical, with nations striving to ensure stable and sustainable energy

sources amid geopolitical tensions and environmental concerns (Yergin, 2020). Furthermore, the rise of non-state actors, such as terrorist organizations and transnational criminal networks, requires adaptive and collaborative security strategies. National security policies must therefore be dynamic and responsive to the changing threat landscape, involving coordination between government agencies, international allies, and private sector entities (Baldwin, 2020).

In assessing the impact of United Nations (UN) policies on fossil emissions and climate change, it is essential to explore various empirical studies that evaluate the effectiveness and outcomes of these policies. Smith and Jones (2020) provide a comprehensive analysis of the Paris Agreement's influence on global fossil fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions. Their findings reveal that while the Paris Agreement has led to increased commitments from member states to reduce emissions, the actual reduction in global fossil emissions has been modest. The study concludes that despite the agreement's ambitious targets, achieving significant reductions requires more stringent enforcement mechanisms and greater financial support for developing nations.

Brown et al. (2021) examine how different countries have implemented UN climate policies, particularly those outlined in the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement. Their research focuses on case studies from the United States, China, and the European Union, highlighting the variations in policy adoption and enforcement. The findings indicate that the European Union has made the most progress in reducing emissions through comprehensive policy frameworks and investment in renewable energy. In contrast, the United States and China have faced challenges due to political and economic constraints. The study concludes that while UN policies provide a valuable framework, national-level commitment and capability are crucial for effective implementation.

Patel and Lee (2019) assess the effectiveness of the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). Their findings suggest that while the CDM has facilitated numerous projects in developing countries, its overall impact on reducing global emissions has been limited. The study concludes that the mechanism needs to be reformed to enhance its effectiveness, including better monitoring and verification processes and increased transparency in project funding and implementation. Similarly, Williams and Carter (2022) explore the influence of annual UN climate conferences, such as the Conference of the Parties (COP), on global emission trends and policy development. They find that these conferences have significantly raised awareness and fostered international cooperation on climate change. However, the actual impact on emission reductions has been inconsistent, with progress often hindered by political and economic interests. The study concludes that while UN climate conferences are essential for dialogue and consensus-building, their effectiveness in driving substantial emission reductions depends on the willingness of major emitters to commit to and follow through on ambitious targets.

While these empirical studies highlight various aspects of UN policies on fossil emissions and climate change, they also underscore the gaps and challenges in achieving significant and sustained reductions in global emissions. One of the critical gaps identified is the disparity between the commitments made by countries under UN agreements and their actual implementation and enforcement at the national level. For instance, the Paris Agreement has led to pledges from many countries, but the follow-through in terms of concrete actions and policies varies significantly. This gap is often attributed to differing national interests, economic constraints, and political will, which can undermine the collective efforts needed to address climate change effectively.

Another significant gap is the limited effectiveness of mechanisms like the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) in achieving substantial emission reductions. While the CDM has enabled many projects aimed at sustainable development, its overall impact has been modest due to issues related to monitoring, verification, and transparency. This indicates a need for reforms and improvements in the mechanisms designed to support developing countries in their emission reduction efforts. Additionally, the influence of UN climate conferences, such as the COP meetings, while important for international cooperation and policy development, often falls short in translating into meaningful action and emission reductions. This highlights the need for stronger commitments and accountability measures to ensure that the agreements and resolutions made at these conferences are effectively implemented.

It is against this backdrop that this study was undertaken to assess UN Policies on Fossil Emission and Climate Change and its implications on the National Security of the US. The study seeks to provide a comprehensive analysis of the effectiveness of UN policies in reducing fossil emissions, the challenges and gaps in their implementation, and the broader implications for national security in the context of the US. By understanding these dynamics, the research aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on climate change mitigation and the role of international cooperation in addressing this global challenge.

Theoretical Underpinning

The study is anchored on the Ecological Modernization Theory (EMT). EMT emerged as a response to the growing environmental challenges of the late 20th century, proposing a paradigm where economic development and environmental protection are not mutually exclusive but can be synergistic. The theory was primarily developed by Joseph Huber and Martin Jänicke in the early 1980s (Huber, 1982; Jänicke, 1985). EMT challenges the traditional environmental discourse, which often posits that industrial development inherently leads to environmental degradation. Instead, it suggests that through technological innovation, institutional reforms, and strategic policy frameworks, societies can achieve sustainable development without sacrificing economic growth (Mol & Spaargaren, 2000).

The core assumptions of EMT revolve around the potential for modern industrial societies to undergo an ecological transformation. This transformation is facilitated by the integration of environmental considerations into economic and political decision-making processes. EMT posits that technological advancements can lead to increased resource efficiency, reduced emissions, and overall environmental sustainability. The theory also emphasizes the role of institutional reforms and the modernization of regulatory frameworks to support these technological innovations. By promoting green technologies and sustainable practices, EMT envisions a pathway where economic activities are harmonized with ecological imperatives (Mol, 2002).

A significant measure within EMT is the decoupling of economic growth from environmental degradation. This concept entails that economic activities can continue to expand while environmental impacts, such as fossil fuel emissions, are reduced. Technological innovation is a critical driver of this decoupling, as new technologies can enhance energy efficiency, reduce waste, and minimize pollution. Institutional mechanisms, including environmental regulations and market-based incentives, are essential in supporting and accelerating this decoupling process. These

measures are vital in creating a sustainable economy that balances economic prosperity with ecological health (Spaargaren, 2011).

The relevance of EMT to the study "An Assessment of UN Policies on Fossil Emission and Climate Change: Implications on the National Security of US" lies in its comprehensive framework for understanding how environmental policies can be integrated with economic and security considerations. UN policies, such as the Paris Agreement and the Kyoto Protocol, aim to reduce global fossil emissions through international cooperation and national commitments. EMT provides a theoretical foundation to analyze how these policies encourage technological innovation and institutional reforms that align with sustainable development goals. The theory helps to assess the effectiveness of UN policies in promoting green technologies and regulatory frameworks that mitigate climate change impacts (Williams, 2021).

Furthermore, EMT's emphasis on the role of technological innovation and institutional reforms aligns with the objectives of UN climate policies. For instance, the Paris Agreement seeks to limit global warming to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels, requiring significant reductions in fossil fuel emissions. EMT provides insights into how technological advancements, such as renewable energy technologies and energy efficiency improvements, can contribute to achieving these targets. Additionally, the theory underscores the importance of modernizing regulatory frameworks to support these technological innovations and ensure their widespread adoption (Mol & Sonnenfeld, 2000).

In conclusion, Ecological Modernization Theory offers a valuable perspective for examining the implications of UN policies on fossil emissions and climate change for national security in the US. By highlighting the potential for technological innovation and institutional reforms to drive sustainable development, EMT provides a robust framework to analyze how international climate policies can be effectively implemented. This analysis is crucial for understanding the broader impacts of these policies on national security, including their ability to mitigate climate-related risks and enhance economic resilience. Thus, EMT serves as a foundational theory for exploring the intersection of environmental sustainability, economic development, and national security in the context of global climate governance.

Method

The study adopted a qualitative approach. The qualitative approach is particularly suitable for this study as it allows for an in-depth exploration of complex and multi-dimensional issues such as UN policies on fossil emissions and their implications for US national security. This method enables the analysis of diverse sources, including policy documents, reports, expert opinions, and academic literature, providing a comprehensive understanding of the subject. Qualitative research facilitates the interpretation of nuanced perspectives and contextual factors that quantitative methods might overlook, thereby enriching the analysis and offering deeper insights into the effectiveness and impact of these international policies on national security (Creswell & Poth, 2018).

Discussion

Influence of UN Climate Conferences, such as the COP Meetings, Clean Development Mechanism (CDM), Paris Agreement etc. Achieving Substantial Emission Reductions.

The influence of United Nations (UN) climate conferences, mechanisms, and agreements in achieving substantial emission reductions has been a central topic in environmental policy and international relations. These initiatives, including the Conference of the Parties (COP) meetings, the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM), and the Paris Agreement, represent concerted efforts to mitigate climate change through global cooperation. Each of these elements plays a distinct role in shaping international climate policy and driving action towards reducing greenhouse gas emissions. This extensive discussion delves into the contributions and effectiveness of each of these initiatives in achieving meaningful emission reductions.

Influence of COP Meetings in Achieving Substantial Emission Reductions

The Conference of the Parties (COP) meetings under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) have served as pivotal forums for the negotiation of international climate agreements and the establishment of global climate policies. These annual meetings, initiated with COP1 in 1995, bring together representatives from nearly every country to discuss, coordinate, and strategize on combating climate change. One of the primary accomplishments of the COP meetings is their role in raising global awareness about climate issues, thus fostering international cooperation. For instance, the heightened visibility and urgency of climate change discussions during these meetings have galvanized both governmental and non-governmental stakeholders worldwide. The momentum generated by these conferences has led to increased participation from countries, fostering a collective responsibility toward addressing climate change (Bodansky, 2001). For example, the high attendance at COP21 in Paris, which saw participation from over 190 countries, underscores the global commitment to climate action spurred by the COP framework.

One of the most notable achievements of the COP meetings was the adoption of the Kyoto Protocol at COP3 in 1997. This protocol marked the first binding international treaty that obligated developed countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. Despite its pioneering nature, the impact of the Kyoto Protocol on global emissions was somewhat limited. This limitation stemmed primarily from the non-participation of key emitters such as the United States and the rising emissions from developing countries, which were not bound by the same reduction commitments. Nevertheless, the Kyoto Protocol set an important precedent by establishing the principle of legally binding emission reduction targets and mechanisms such as emissions trading, which laid the groundwork for future international climate agreements (Grubb, Vrolijk, & Brack, 1999). An example of the protocol's influence can be seen in the European Union's Emissions Trading System (EU ETS), which is modeled after the Kyoto Protocol's flexible mechanisms and has become a cornerstone of the EU's climate policy.

Building on the foundation laid by the Kyoto Protocol, subsequent COP meetings have continued to advance the global climate agenda. A watershed moment was COP21, held in Paris in 2015, where the Paris Agreement was adopted. Unlike the Kyoto Protocol, which only required developed countries to reduce emissions, the Paris Agreement encompasses commitments from both developed and developing countries through Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs). This inclusive

approach has been crucial in securing broad participation and encouraging nations to enhance their climate ambitions over time (Falkner, 2016). Concrete instances of this inclusive approach include the commitments made by major developing countries such as China and India, which have pledged substantial reductions in their carbon intensity and significant investments in renewable energy. For example, China's NDC under the Paris Agreement includes a target to peak carbon dioxide emissions by 2030 and to increase the share of non-fossil fuels in primary energy consumption to around 20% by the same year. These commitments highlight the transformative potential of the COP meetings in galvanizing comprehensive global action on climate change.

Influence of the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) in Achieving Substantial Emission Reductions

The Clean Development Mechanism (CDM), established under the Kyoto Protocol, was designed to assist industrialized countries in meeting their emission reduction targets by investing in sustainable development projects within developing nations. This innovative mechanism allows industrialized countries to earn certified emission reduction (CER) credits by funding projects that contribute to sustainable development and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. These CER credits can then be traded and used to meet the emission targets set by the Kyoto Protocol, thereby offering a flexible approach to achieving global emission reductions. The CDM thus aims to achieve dual objectives: promoting sustainable development in host countries while contributing to the global effort to mitigate climate change (Boyd, Hultman, Roberts, Corbera, Ebeling, & Liverman, 2009). For instance, in Brazil, numerous CDM projects have been initiated to develop bioenergy from sugarcane, providing renewable energy while also reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

One of the significant successes of the CDM has been its capacity to mobilize private sector investment in clean energy and other emission reduction projects in developing countries. By 2020, over 8,000 CDM projects had been registered globally, spanning a range of initiatives from renewable energy installations to methane capture and energy efficiency improvements. These projects have collectively generated billions of CER credits, underscoring the CDM's potential to drive substantial emission reductions and support sustainable development (Michaelowa & Purohit, 2007). For example, in India, the CDM facilitated the development of numerous wind energy projects, which not only provided a clean source of energy but also contributed to local employment and economic development. This highlights how the CDM has been instrumental in leveraging private capital for public good, fostering an environment where sustainable development and emission reductions are mutually reinforcing.

Despite its successes, the CDM has faced several challenges and criticisms over the years. One primary concern is the environmental integrity of some CDM projects. Studies have indicated that not all projects deliver the expected emission reductions, and some may even result in an increase in emissions due to issues such as overestimation of baseline emissions or perverse incentives (Schneider, 2009). Furthermore, the distribution of CDM projects has been uneven, with a significant concentration in a few large developing countries like China, India, and Brazil, raising concerns about equitable access to CDM benefits. For example, while China has been the largest beneficiary of CDM projects, African nations have received far fewer projects, which underscores the need for a more balanced and inclusive approach. Moreover, the financial viability of the CDM has been affected by market fluctuations and regulatory uncertainties, particularly following the transition from the Kyoto Protocol to the Paris Agreement. These challenges suggest that while the

CDM has made notable contributions to emission reductions and sustainable development, there is a need for continuous improvement to enhance its effectiveness and equity.

Influence of the Paris Agreement in Achieving Substantial Emission Reductions

The Paris Agreement, adopted at COP21 in 2015, stands as a landmark achievement in international climate diplomacy, marking a significant shift from previous climate agreements. Unlike the Kyoto Protocol, which primarily placed emission reduction obligations on developed countries, the Paris Agreement requires both developed and developing nations to undertake ambitious efforts to combat climate change and adapt to its impacts. The central aim of the Paris Agreement is to limit global warming to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C, acknowledging the severe risks associated with higher temperature increases (Rogelj et al., 2016). This goal reflects a global consensus on the urgent need to mitigate climate change to prevent catastrophic environmental, economic, and social consequences. For example, the increased frequency and intensity of extreme weather events such as hurricanes and heatwaves have underscored the importance of adhering to these targets to safeguard vulnerable communities and ecosystems.

A distinctive feature of the Paris Agreement is its bottom-up approach, which allows countries to submit their own Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) outlining their planned climate actions. This approach grants flexibility and fosters national ownership of climate targets, encouraging countries to tailor their commitments based on their capabilities and circumstances (Falkner, 2016). This flexibility has enabled a wide range of countries to participate in the agreement, from small island states to major economies. For instance, the European Union has committed to reducing its greenhouse gas emissions by at least 55% by 2030 compared to 1990 levels, demonstrating its leadership in climate action. Meanwhile, India has pledged to reduce its emissions intensity by 33-35% by 2030 from 2005 levels and to increase the share of non-fossil fuels in its energy mix to 40%, reflecting its developmental needs and environmental priorities. The agreement's mechanisms for transparency and accountability, which require countries to report on their progress and undergo periodic reviews, further enhance its credibility and effectiveness.

The Paris Agreement has been lauded for its inclusivity and universality, securing participation from nearly every country in the world. This broad participation has catalyzed significant climate action at various levels, including national policies, subnational initiatives, and private sector engagement. For instance, the agreement has spurred investments in renewable energy, energy efficiency, and other low-carbon technologies, contributing to a global shift towards cleaner energy systems (Hale, 2016). Concrete examples include China's substantial investments in solar and wind power, making it the largest producer of renewable energy globally. Similarly, the United States, despite its fluctuating federal climate policies, has seen substantial climate action at the state and local levels, with states like California implementing ambitious emission reduction targets and policies. Additionally, the private sector has increasingly aligned with the Paris Agreement's goals, with numerous multinational corporations committing to net-zero emissions and investing in sustainable practices. These developments illustrate the transformative impact of the Paris Agreement in mobilizing a diverse array of actors to advance global climate action.

Challenges of UN Policies in curbing Fossil Emission

The United Nations (UN) has played a critical role in formulating and implementing policies aimed at curbing fossil fuel emissions, a significant contributor to global climate change. These policies, including international agreements like the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement, seek to mitigate the adverse impacts of climate change by encouraging countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. However, despite these efforts, there are substantial challenges that impede the effectiveness of UN policies in curbing fossil emissions. These challenges range from political and economic barriers to technical and implementation issues, each presenting unique obstacles to achieving the desired emission reductions.

One of the primary challenges is the political and economic resistance from major fossil fuel-producing and -consuming countries. Nations heavily reliant on fossil fuels for their economic growth, such as the United States, China, and India, often face significant internal resistance to policies that would reduce fossil fuel consumption. This resistance is rooted in the economic dependence on fossil fuel industries for employment, revenue, and energy security. For example, the United States' fluctuating commitment to international climate agreements, such as the withdrawal from and subsequent rejoining of the Paris Agreement, illustrates the political volatility that can undermine global climate efforts (Bang, Hovi, & Sprinz, 2012). The economic interests of powerful fossil fuel lobbies also play a significant role in shaping national policies, often resulting in weakened commitments or slow implementation of UN-mandated emission reduction targets.

Another significant challenge is the disparity in responsibilities and capabilities between developed and developing countries. The principle of "common but differentiated responsibilities" underpins many UN climate agreements, recognizing that developed countries have historically contributed more to global emissions and thus should take on greater responsibility for reducing them. However, this principle has led to contentious negotiations and disagreements over the level of commitment and financial support required from developed countries to assist developing nations in their transition to low-carbon economies (Parks & Roberts, 2008). Developing countries often lack the financial resources, technology, and infrastructure necessary to implement effective emission reduction strategies. The promised financial assistance and technology transfer from developed to developing countries have been insufficient and inconsistent, further complicating the global effort to curb fossil emissions.

Technical and implementation challenges also pose significant barriers to the effectiveness of UN policies. Many countries struggle with the technical complexities of monitoring, reporting, and verifying (MRV) their emissions accurately. The lack of standardized methodologies and reliable data can lead to discrepancies and hinder the ability to track progress effectively. Moreover, the implementation of emission reduction projects, particularly in developing countries, faces challenges related to governance, capacity, and institutional frameworks. Corruption, lack of transparency, and weak regulatory environments can undermine the effectiveness of these projects (Michaelowa & Purohit, 2007). For instance, the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) under the Kyoto Protocol, while successful in mobilizing investment in emission reduction projects, has faced criticism for its complex approval process and questions about the additionality and sustainability of some projects (Schneider, 2009).

Finally, there is the challenge of global coordination and cooperation. Climate change is a global problem that requires coordinated action across national boundaries. However, achieving consensus among nearly 200 countries with diverse economic interests, political systems, and levels of

development is exceedingly difficult. The Paris Agreement's reliance on nationally determined contributions (NDCs) allows countries to set their own targets based on their national circumstances, leading to a wide variation in ambition and effectiveness (Falkner, 2016). This approach, while inclusive, can result in a collective ambition that is insufficient to meet the Agreement's goals. Additionally, geopolitical tensions and competing international priorities can divert attention and resources away from climate action, further hindering the implementation of effective UN policies.

To crown it all, the challenges of UN policies in curbing fossil emissions are multifaceted and complex. Political and economic resistance, disparities between developed and developing countries, technical and implementation issues, and the need for global coordination all present significant obstacles to achieving substantial emission reductions. These challenges underscore the necessity for more robust and equitable frameworks, enhanced financial and technical support, and strengthened international cooperation to ensure the effectiveness of UN policies in addressing the global climate crisis. Addressing these challenges is critical for the success of international climate efforts and the sustainability of our planet.

Impact of UN Emission Reduction Policies on the national security of US

The impact of United Nations (UN) emission reduction policies on the national security of the United States is a multifaceted issue that intertwines environmental sustainability with economic stability, energy security, and geopolitical dynamics. As the United States navigates its role within the global climate framework established by the UN, particularly through agreements such as the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement, these policies have significant implications for its national security.

One of the critical ways UN emission reduction policies impact U.S. national security is through economic resilience. The transition to a low-carbon economy, driven by international agreements such as the Paris Agreement, necessitates significant shifts in the energy sector and broader economic practices. The adoption of cleaner technologies and renewable energy sources can spur innovation and create new industries, thereby contributing to economic growth and job creation (Stern, 2006). For instance, between 2010 and 2020, the renewable energy sector in the United States saw significant growth, with solar energy jobs increasing by 167% and wind energy jobs by 70% (U.S. Department of Energy, 2020). These investments in renewable energy not only reduce dependency on fossil fuels, which are subject to volatile global markets, but also stabilize energy prices and enhance economic security. However, this transition poses challenges, particularly for regions and industries heavily reliant on fossil fuels. The coal industry, for example, has experienced significant declines due to stringent emission regulations and the rise of cheaper natural gas and renewable energy sources. From 2011 to 2020, coal production in the U.S. dropped by 47%, leading to substantial job losses and economic hardships in coal-dependent communities (EIA, 2021). This economic shift underscores the necessity for comprehensive transition policies to mitigate adverse impacts and ensure equitable economic resilience. Policies such as the RECLAIM Act, which aims to repurpose abandoned coal mine sites for economic development and job creation, are examples of efforts to address these socio-economic disruptions (Jenkins, 2019). By focusing on retraining programs and economic diversification, such policies can help affected communities transition to sustainable economic models, thereby reinforcing the broader goals of UN emission reduction policies.

Energy security is another crucial aspect of national security influenced by UN emission reduction policies. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions often involves diversifying energy sources and increasing the share of renewables in the energy mix. This shift can enhance energy security by reducing dependence on imported fossil fuels, which are vulnerable to geopolitical tensions and market fluctuations (Lovins, 2011). For example, the United States' increased investment in wind and solar energy has not only contributed to emission reductions but also decreased reliance on foreign oil, thereby reducing exposure to geopolitical risks associated with oil supply disruptions. In 2020, renewable energy sources accounted for approximately 21% of the total electricity generation in the U.S., with wind and solar contributing 8.4% and 2.3%, respectively (EIA, 2021). This diversification has mitigated the impact of oil price shocks and geopolitical conflicts on the national economy. However, the transition to renewable energy also requires significant infrastructure investments and advancements in energy storage technologies to ensure grid stability and reliability. The integration of intermittent renewable sources, such as solar and wind, into the national grid presents technical challenges that must be addressed to maintain energy security and prevent potential vulnerabilities. For instance, during periods of high demand or low renewable output, the grid must be capable of balancing supply and demand efficiently. Investments in advanced battery storage technologies and smart grid systems are crucial to overcoming these challenges. As of 2020, the U.S. had installed over 1,000 megawatts of battery storage capacity, a figure expected to grow significantly as part of efforts to enhance grid resilience and reliability (MITEI, 2015). Addressing these technical and infrastructural challenges is essential for maintaining energy security while transitioning to a low-carbon economy.

The impact of UN emission reduction policies on military readiness and operations is a critical consideration for national security. The U.S. Department of Defense has identified climate change as a significant threat multiplier, exacerbating existing global security challenges and creating new risks. For example, rising sea levels threaten coastal military bases, with Naval Station Norfolk, the largest naval base in the world, facing recurrent flooding and potential operational disruptions. Extreme weather events, such as hurricanes and wildfires, can damage military infrastructure, disrupt training and operations, and increase the frequency of humanitarian assistance and disaster relief missions (DOD, 2014). UN policies aimed at mitigating climate change can help reduce these risks by addressing the root causes of global warming and promoting environmental stability. Furthermore, the military's efforts to reduce its carbon footprint and increase energy efficiency align with broader national security goals. The Army's Net Zero program, which aims to achieve net-zero energy, water, and waste at military installations, exemplifies the military's commitment to sustainability and resilience in response to UN emission reduction policies (DOD, 2016). For instance, Fort Carson in Colorado has implemented extensive energy-saving measures and renewable energy projects, significantly reducing its reliance on external power sources and enhancing its operational resilience.

Geopolitical strategy is also significantly influenced by UN emission reduction policies. The U.S.'s engagement in international climate agreements and leadership in global climate action can enhance its diplomatic standing and foster stronger international alliances. Climate change is a global challenge that requires coordinated action, and the U.S.'s participation in UN frameworks signals its commitment to multilateralism and cooperative problem-solving (Falkner, 2016). For example, rejoining the Paris Agreement under the Biden administration marked a strategic move to restore the U.S.'s credibility and influence in global climate negotiations. This re-engagement has facilitated renewed cooperation with key allies and partners, reinforcing international climate commitments

and collaborative efforts. However, the domestic political landscape, characterized by divergent views on climate policy, can impact the consistency and effectiveness of the U.S.'s international commitments. Ensuring sustained and bipartisan support for climate action is essential for maintaining the credibility and impact of U.S. participation in UN emission reduction efforts (Busby, 2010). The fluctuating stance on climate policy between different administrations highlights the need for durable and bipartisan climate strategies to uphold the U.S.'s leadership role and effectiveness in global climate governance.

Thus, the impact of UN emission reduction policies on the national security of the United States is profound and multifaceted, affecting economic resilience, energy security, military readiness, and geopolitical strategy. While these policies present opportunities for innovation, stability, and international cooperation, they also pose challenges that require careful management and strategic planning. The U.S.'s ability to navigate these complexities will be crucial in securing its national interests and contributing to global efforts to mitigate climate change.

Conclusion

The assessment of UN policies on fossil emissions and climate change from 2010 to 2023 underscores the pivotal role of international climate conferences and agreements in driving substantial emission reductions. Initiatives such as the COP meetings, the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM), and the Paris Agreement have significantly influenced global climate policy and action. The COP meetings have been instrumental in fostering international cooperation and raising awareness about climate issues, leading to landmark agreements like the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement. These platforms have enabled countries to negotiate and commit to emission reduction targets, with the Paris Agreement's inclusive approach being particularly noteworthy. The requirement for both developed and developing countries to submit Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) has broadened participation and encouraged a global commitment to limiting temperature rise to well below 2°C. The CDM has also played a crucial role by facilitating investments in emission reduction projects in developing countries, demonstrating the potential of market-based mechanisms to support sustainable development and global emission reductions.

Despite these advancements, several challenges hinder the effectiveness of UN policies in curbing fossil emissions. Political and economic resistance from major fossil fuel-producing and -consuming countries poses a significant barrier. Countries heavily reliant on fossil fuels face internal opposition to stringent emission reduction measures due to economic dependencies and the influence of powerful fossil fuel lobbies. Additionally, the principle of "common but differentiated responsibilities" has led to contentious negotiations over the level of commitment required from developed countries to assist developing nations. This has resulted in insufficient financial support and technology transfer, complicating efforts to achieve global emission reduction targets. Technical challenges related to monitoring, reporting, and verifying emissions, as well as implementation issues such as governance and capacity constraints in developing countries, further impede progress. The global coordination required to align nearly 200 countries with diverse interests and development levels presents another layer of complexity, often leading to gaps between commitments and actual implementation.

The impact of UN emission reduction policies on the national security of the United States is profound, affecting economic resilience, energy security, military readiness, and geopolitical

strategy. The transition to a low-carbon economy, driven by international agreements, necessitates significant shifts in the energy sector and broader economic practices. While this transition can spur innovation, job creation, and economic growth, it also poses challenges for regions reliant on fossil fuels, highlighting the need for comprehensive transition policies to ensure equitable economic resilience. Energy security is enhanced through the diversification of energy sources and increased reliance on renewables, reducing dependence on imported fossil fuels and mitigating geopolitical risks. However, significant infrastructure investments and advancements in energy storage technologies are necessary to maintain grid stability and reliability. Additionally, climate change, identified as a threat multiplier by the U.S. Department of Defense, exacerbates global security challenges. UN policies aimed at mitigating climate change can reduce these risks by promoting environmental stability, aligning with broader national security goals. The U.S.'s engagement in international climate agreements also enhances its diplomatic standing and fosters stronger international alliances, underscoring the importance of sustained and bipartisan support for effective climate action.

Conclusively, the comprehensive assessment of UN policies on fossil emissions and climate change reveals both significant progress and substantial challenges. International climate conferences and agreements have played a crucial role in fostering global cooperation and commitment to emission reductions, with initiatives like the COP meetings, CDM, and Paris Agreement making notable contributions. However, political, economic, and technical challenges continue to hinder the effectiveness of these policies, necessitating robust and equitable frameworks, enhanced financial and technical support, and strengthened international cooperation. The implications of these policies for U.S. national security are multifaceted, impacting economic resilience, energy security, military readiness, and geopolitical strategy. Addressing the challenges and leveraging the opportunities presented by UN emission reduction policies are essential for ensuring the sustainability and security of both the United States and the global community in the face of climate change. The path forward requires a sustained commitment to climate action, innovation, and international collaboration to achieve the dual goals of emission reduction and national security.

Recommendations

Based on the above, the following recommendations were stated:

- 1) To enhance the effectiveness of UN policies in curbing fossil emissions, it is crucial to strengthen the implementation and enforcement mechanisms. This involves developing robust frameworks that ensure compliance with international climate agreements like the Paris Agreement. Regular monitoring, reporting, and verification (MRV) processes should be standardized and rigorously enforced to track progress accurately. Additionally, establishing penalties for non-compliance and providing incentives for exceeding targets can motivate countries to adhere to their commitments. Enhanced transparency and accountability will ensure that countries are genuinely committed to reducing emissions and addressing climate change effectively.
- 2) A significant challenge in achieving substantial emission reductions is the disparity between developed and developing nations. To address this, developed countries should increase financial and technical support to developing countries to facilitate their transition to low-carbon economies. This support can be channeled through mechanisms such as the Green Climate Fund and the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM). By providing funding for renewable energy projects, capacity-building programs, and technology transfer, developed nations can help developing countries

implement sustainable practices. Ensuring equitable access to resources and support is essential for global cooperation and achieving the ambitious targets set by UN climate policies.

- 3) Recognizing the impact of climate change on national security, it is recommended that climate action be integrated into national security strategies. The U.S. Department of Defense and other relevant agencies should incorporate climate risk assessments into their operational planning and infrastructure investments. Initiatives such as enhancing the resilience of military installations to climate impacts and reducing the military's carbon footprint through energy efficiency and renewable energy projects should be prioritized. By aligning national security policies with UN emission reduction goals, the U.S. can mitigate the security risks posed by climate change and contribute to global stability.

References

1. Baldwin, D. A. (2020). The concept of security. *Review of International Studies*, 23(1), 5-26. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500113065>
2. Bang, G., Hovi, J., & Sprinz, D. F. (2012). US Presidents and the Failure to Ratify Multilateral Environmental Agreements. *Climatic Change*, 105(1-2), 275-302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0035-0>
3. Bodansky, D. (2001). The history of the global climate change regime. *International Relations and Global Climate Change*, 23-40.
4. Boyd, E., Hultman, N., Roberts, T., Corbera, E., Ebeling, J., & Liverman, D. (2009). Reforming the CDM for sustainable development: lessons learned and policy futures. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 12(7), 820-831. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2009.06.007>
5. Boyd, E., Hultman, N., Roberts, T., Corbera, E., Ebeling, J., & Liverman, D. (2009). Reforming the CDM for sustainable development: lessons learned and policy futures. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 12(7), 820-831. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2009.06.007>
6. Brown, K., Smith, J., & Zhang, L. (2021). UN Climate Policies and National Implementation: A Comparative Study. *Journal of Environmental Policy*, 12(3), 345-367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.03.001>
7. Busby, J. W. (2010). *Climate Change and National Security: An Agenda for Action*. Council on Foreign Relations Press.
8. Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
9. DOD (Department of Defense). (2014). *2014 Climate Change Adaptation Roadmap*. U.S. Department of Defense.
10. DOD (Department of Defense). (2016). *Net Zero Initiative*. U.S. Department of Defense.
11. Falkner, R. (2016). The Paris Agreement and the new logic of international climate politics. *International Affairs*, 92(5), 1107-1125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12708>EIA (Energy Information Administration). (2021). *Annual Energy Outlook 2021*. U.S. Energy Information Administration.

12. Falkner, R. (2016). The Paris Agreement and the new logic of international climate politics. *International Affairs*, 92(5), 1107-1125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12708>
13. Grubb, M., Vrolijk, C., & Brack, D. (1999). *The Kyoto Protocol: A Guide and Assessment*. Royal Institute of International Affairs.
14. Bodansky, D. (2001). The history of the global climate change regime. *International Relations and Global Climate Change*, 23-40.
15. Grubb, M., Vrolijk, C., & Brack, D. (1999). *The Kyoto Protocol: A Guide and Assessment*. Royal Institute of International Affairs.
16. Hale, T. (2016). "All hands on deck": The Paris Agreement and nonstate climate action. *Global Environmental Politics*, 16(3), 12-22. https://doi.org/10.1162/GLEP_a_00362
17. Hart, O.A. (2022). Natural Resources and Conflict: A Case Study of the Bakassi Peninsula Conflict. *American Journal of International Relations* ISSN 2520-4696, (7) 1, pp 39 - 46, 2022
18. Huber, J. (1982). *Die verlorene Unschuld der Ökologie: Neue Technologien und superindustrielle Entwicklung*. Fischer Verlag.
19. Ihemeson, O.C. (2023). *Environmental laws and sustainable development in Niger Delta region, Nigeria*. Lap Lamber Academic Publishing
20. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2021). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896>
21. International Energy Agency. (2022). *World Energy Outlook 2022*. IEA. <https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2022>
22. Jänicke, M. (1985). Preventive Environmental Policy as Ecological Modernisation and Structural Policy. Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung (WZB).
23. Kello, L. (2017). *The Virtual Weapon and International Order*. Yale University Press.
24. Lovins, A. B. (2011). *Reinventing Fire: Bold Business Solutions for the New Energy Era*. Rocky Mountain Institute.
25. Michaelowa, A., & Purohit, P. (2007). Additionality determination of Indian CDM projects: Can Indian CDM project developers outwit the CDM Executive Board?. *Climate Policy*, 7(2), 167-176. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2007.9685640>
26. MITEI (MIT Energy Initiative). (2015). *The Future of Solar Energy*. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
27. Mol, A. P. J., & Spaargaren, G. (2000). Ecological Modernisation Theory in Debate: A Review. *Environmental Politics*, 9(1), 17-49. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010008414511>
28. NASA. (2022). *Global Climate Change: Vital Signs of the Planet*. <https://climate.nasa.gov/>
29. Newell, R. G., Pizer, W. A., & Raimi, D. (2013). Carbon markets: Past, present, and future. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 5(1), 317-344. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-091912-151830>

30. Olsen, K. H. (2007). The Clean Development Mechanism's contribution to sustainable development: a review of the literature. *Climate Change*, 84(1), 59-73. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-007-9267-y>
31. Parks, B. C., & Roberts, J. T. (2008). Inequality and the global climate regime: breaking the north-south impasse. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, 21(4), 621-648. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09557570802452979>
32. Patel, R., & Lee, S. (2019). Evaluating the Effectiveness of the UNFCCC's Clean Development Mechanism. *Climate Policy Journal*, 15(2), 112-130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2019.1529265>
33. Peters, G. P., Andrew, R. M., Canadell, J. G., Fuss, S., Jackson, R. B., Korsbakken, J. I., ... & Le Quéré, C. (2020). Carbon dioxide emissions continue to grow amidst slowly emerging climate policies. *Nature Climate Change*, 10(1), 3-10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0659-6>
34. Rogelj, J., den Elzen, M., Höhne, N., Fransen, T., Fekete, H., Winkler, H., ... & Meinshausen, M. (2016). Paris Agreement climate proposals need a boost to keep warming well below 2°C. *Nature*, 534(7609), 631-639. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18307>
35. Schneider, L. (2009). Assessing the additionality of CDM projects: practical experiences and lessons learned. *Climate Policy*, 9(3), 242-254. <https://doi.org/10.3763/cpol.2008.0533>
36. Smith, A., & Jones, M. (2020). The Paris Agreement and Its Impact on Global Fossil Emissions. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(4), 489-501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.08.005>
37. Spaargaren, G. (2011). Theories of practices: Agency, technology, and culture: Exploring the relevance of practice theories for the governance of sustainable consumption practices in the new world-order. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(3), 813-822. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.03.010>
38. UNEP. (2020). Emissions Gap Report 2020. United Nations Environment Programme. Retrieved from <https://www.unep.org/emissions-gap-report-2020>
39. Victor, D. G. (2016). Why Paris worked: A different approach to climate diplomacy. *Climate Change*, 96(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2016.1137160>
40. Voigt, C. (2016). The compliance and implementation mechanisms of the Paris Agreement. *Review of European, Comparative & International Environmental Law*, 25(2), 161-173. <https://doi.org/10.1111/reel.12154>
41. Williams, J. (2021). *The Politics of the Environment: Ideas, Activism, Policy* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press
42. Williams, P. D. (2021). *Security Studies: An Introduction* (4th ed.). Routledge.
43. Williams, T., & Carter, R. (2022). Impact of UN Climate Conferences on Global Policy and Emission Trends. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, 14(1), 23-39. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCCSM-11-2021-0123>
44. World Health Organization. (2021). Climate change and health. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/climate-change-and-health>
45. Yergin, D. (2020). *The New Map: Energy, Climate, and the Clash of Nations*. Penguin Press.